

---

**From:** Seto Michael C  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 3:39 PM  
**To:** Paz Holly O  
**Subject:** FW: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

FYI

---

**From:** Seto Michael C  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 4:38 PM  
**To:** Thomas Cindy M  
**Subject:** FW: Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

Hi Cindy,

We have reviewed 11 cases so far and only one can go favorable. Would it help your team on those 10 cases if Hilary and Justin meet with them via phone conference to discuss how to develop them, like what we are doing in the ACA cases.

Let me know.

Thanks,

Mike

---

**From:** Goehausen Hilary  
**Sent:** Friday, September 30, 2011 4:06 PM  
**To:** Seto Michael C  
**Subject:** Advocacy Org Cases in TEDS

Hi Mike,

After talking with Justin and reviewing some of the cases I've looked at (11), we agreed that only one of the cases would be favorable. The other cases, while not engaging in political campaign intervention/activities, may need further development because it is questionable whether they're even engaging in social welfare activities. Many are simply propaganda.

Justin explained to me the approach he and Susan Cundiff took while reviewing ACA cases in TEDS which involved having weekly meetings with the agents and discussing the cases. He thought a similar approach would work with these cases. We would go through them and talk to Cindy, etc. about our thoughts on each case, issues for further development, and other things they may want to consider. Is that an acceptable approach?

Thanks,  
Hilary

Hilary Goehausen  
Tax Law Specialist  
Exempt Organizations  
Technical Group 1  
1111 Constitution Ave., NW  
Washington, D.C. 20224  
p: 202.283.8915  
f: 202.283.8937

[Hilary.Goehausen@irs.gov](mailto:Hilary.Goehausen@irs.gov)